

DISCOVERY GRANTS MERIT INDICATORS¹

	Exceptional	Outstanding	Very Strong	Strong	Moderate	Insufficient
Excellence of the Researcher	Acknowledged as a leader who has continued to make, over the last six years, influential accomplishments at the highest level of quality, impact and/or importance to a broad community .	The accomplishments presented in the application were deemed to be far superior in quality, impact and/or importance to a broad community .	The accomplishments presented in the application were deemed to be of superior quality, impact and/or importance.	The accomplishments presented in the application were deemed to be solid in their quality, impact and/or importance.	The accomplishments presented in the application were deemed to be of reasonable quality, impact and/or importance.	The accomplishments presented in the application were deemed to be below an acceptable level of quality, impact and/or importance.
Merit of the Proposal	Proposed research program is clearly presented, is extremely original and innovative and is likely to have impact by leading to groundbreaking advances in the area and/or leading to a technology or policy that addresses socio-economic or environmental needs. Long-term vision and short-term objectives are clearly defined. The methodology is clearly defined and appropriate. The application clearly demonstrates how the research activities to be supported are distinct from those funded (or applied for) by other sources.	Proposed research program is clearly presented, is highly original and innovative and is likely to have impact by contributing to groundbreaking advances in the area, and/or leading to a technology or policy that addresses socio-economic or environmental needs. Long-term goals are clearly defined and short-term objectives are well planned. The methodology is clearly described and appropriate. The application clearly demonstrates how the research activities to be supported are distinct from those funded (or applied for) by other sources.	Proposed research program is clearly presented, is original and innovative and is likely to have impact by leading to advancements and/or addressing socio-economic or environmental needs. Long-term goals are defined and short-term objectives are planned. The methodology is clearly described and appropriate. The application clearly demonstrates how the research activities to be supported are distinct from those funded (or applied for) by other sources.	Proposed research program is clearly presented, is original and innovative and is likely to have impact and/or address socio-economic or environmental needs. Long-term goals and short-term objectives are clearly described. The methodology is described and appropriate. The application clearly demonstrates how the research activities to be supported are distinct from those funded (or applied for) by other sources.	Proposed research program is clearly presented, has original and innovative aspects and may have impact and/or address socio-economic or environmental needs. Long-term and short-term objectives are described. The methodology is partially described and/or appropriate. The application clearly demonstrates how the research activities to be supported are distinct from those funded (or applied for) by other sources.	Proposed research program, as presented lacks clarity , and/or is of limited originality and innovation. Objectives are not clearly described and/or likely not attainable. Methodology is not clearly described and/or appropriate. The application does not clearly demonstrate how the research activities to be supported are distinct from those funded (or applied for) by other sources.
Training of HQP	Past training is at the highest level in terms of the research training environment provided and HQP contributions to research. Most HQP move on to highly impactful positions that require skills gained through the training received. Training philosophy and research training plans are at the highest quality: highly appropriate, clearly defined and expected to produce top quality results in terms of the overall approach and specific projects for HQP.	Past training is far superior to other applicants in terms of research training environment provided and HQP contributions to research. Most HQP move on to impactful positions that require skills gained through the training received. Training philosophy and research training plans are far superior: highly appropriate, clearly defined and expected to produce high quality results in terms of the overall approach and specific projects for HQP.	Past training is superior to other applicants in terms of the research training environment provided and HQP contributions to research. HQP generally move on to impactful positions that require skills gained through the training received. Training philosophy and research training plans are superior: highly appropriate, clearly defined and expected to produce quality results in terms of the overall approach and specific projects for HQP.	Past training compares favourably with other applicants in terms of the research training environment provided and HQP contributions to research. HQP generally move on to positions that require skills gained through the training received. Training philosophy and research training plans are appropriate and clearly defined in terms of the overall approach and specific projects for HQP.	Past training is modest relative to other applicants in terms of the research training environment provided and HQP contributions to research. Some HQP move on to positions that require skills gained through the training received. Training philosophy and research training plans are partially appropriate and partially defined in terms of the overall approach and specific projects for HQP.	Past training is below an acceptable level in terms of the research training environment provided and HQP contributions to research. HQP rarely move on to positions that require skills gained through the training received. Training philosophy and research training plans are not appropriate and not clearly defined in terms of the overall approach and specific projects for HQP.

¹The Discovery Grants Merit Indicators should be used in conjunction with the Peer Review Manual which outlines how reviewers arrive at a rating.