

SUBATOMIC PHYSICS PROJECT GRANT MERIT INDICATORS

The Discovery Grants Merit Indicators should be used in conjunction with the Peer Review Manual which outlines how reviewers arrive at a rating.

The Discovery Grants Merit Indicators should be used in conjunction with the Peer Review Manual which outlines how reviewers arrive at a rating.												
EXCEPTIONAL		OUTSTANDING		VERY STRONG		STRONG		MODERATE		INSUFFICIENT		
Excellence of Collaboration	The Canadian collaboration is acknowledged as world leading in terms of research excellence, accomplishments, and service.		Research excellence, accomplishments, and service of the Canadian collaboration are far superior to others.		Research excellence, accomplishments, and service of the Canadian collaboration are superior to others.		Research excellence, accomplishments, and service of the Canadian collaboration are significant .		Research excellence, accomplishments, and service of the Canadian collaboration are reasonable .		Research excellence, accomplishments, and service of the Canadian collaboration are below an acceptable level of quality.	
	Contributions presented in the application are of the highest level of quality.		Contributions presenting in the application are of high quality.		Contributions presented in the application are above average in quality.		Contributions presented in the application are of good quality.		Contributions presented in the application are of reasonable quality.		Contributions presented in the application are limited in quality.	
	Impact and importance of the work is clearly evident and ground-breaking .		Impact and importance of the work is clearly evident and influential .		Impact and importance of the work is clearly evident .		Impact and importance of the work is evident .		Impact and importance of the work is somewhat evident .		Impact and importance of the work is not clearly evident .	
	The Canadian collaboration has clearly defined responsibilities and is highly effective .		The Canadian collaboration has clearly defined responsibilities and is effective .		The Canadian collaboration has defined responsibilities and is effective.		The Canadian collaboration has defined responsibilities.		The Canadian collaboration has partially defined responsibilities.		The Canadian collaboration's responsibilities are not clearly defined .	
	The rationales related to equity, diversity and inclusion specific to the team composition and (where applicable) designated roles are clearly described .		The rationales related to equity, diversity and inclusion specific to the team composition and (where applicable) designated roles are clearly described .		The rationales related to equity, diversity and inclusion specific to the team composition and (where applicable) designated roles are clearly described .		The rationales related to equity, diversity and inclusion specific to the team composition and (where applicable) designated roles are described .		The rationales related to equity, diversity, and inclusion specific to the team composition and (where applicable) designated roles are partially described .		The rationales related to equity, diversity and inclusion specific to the team composition and (where applicable) designated roles are not appropriate or not described .	
	Where applicable, specific policies and practices to support an equitable, diverse and inclusive team environment are clearly defined and likely to have impact .		Where applicable, specific policies and practices to support an equitable, diverse and inclusive team environment are clearly defined and likely to have impact .		Where applicable, specific policies and practices to support an equitable, diverse and inclusive team environment are clearly defined .		Where applicable, specific policies and practices to support an equitable, diverse and inclusive team environment are defined .		Where applicable, specific policies and practices to support an equitable, diverse and inclusive team environment are partially defined .		Where applicable, specific policies and practices to support an equitable, diverse and inclusive team environment are not appropriate or not described .	
Merit of Proposal	Proposed research project is clearly presented, is extremely original and innovative and is likely to have impact by leading to ground-breaking advances in the area and/or leading to a technology or policy that addresses socio-economic or environmental needs.		Proposed research project is clearly presented, is highly original and innovative and is likely to have impact by contributing to ground-breaking advances in the research area, and/or leading to a technology or policy that addresses socio-economic or environmental needs.		Proposed research project is clearly presented, is original and innovative and is likely to have impact by leading to advancements in the research area and/or addressing socio-economic or environmental needs.		Proposed research project is clearly presented, is original and innovative and is likely to have impact in the research area and/or address socio-economic or environmental needs.		Proposed research project is clearly presented, has original and innovative aspects in the research area and may have impact and/or address socio-economic or environmental needs.		Proposed research project , as presented lacks clarity , and/or is of limited originality and innovation .	
	Long-term vision and short-term objectives are clearly defined .		Long-term goals are clearly defined and short-term objectives are well planned .		Long-term goals are defined and short-term objectives are planned .		Long-term goals and short-term objectives are clearly described .		Long-term and short-term objectives are described .		Objectives are not clearly described and/or likely not attainable.	
	The methodology is clearly described and appropriate .		The methodology is clearly described and appropriate .		The methodology is clearly described and appropriate .		The methodology is described and appropriate .		The methodology is partially described and/or appropriate .		Methodology is not clearly described and/or appropriate .	
	The activities proposed by the Canadian collaboration are of top priority to the international collaboration/broader community, and/or are innovative activities that will likely become top priorities of the international collaboration / broader community, within the time period covered by the grant application.		The activities proposed by the Canadian collaboration are of very high priority to the international collaboration / broader community, and/or are innovative activities that will likely become very high priorities of the international / broader collaboration, within the time period covered by the grant application.		The proposed activities of the Canadian collaboration are of high priority to the international collaboration / broader community, and/or are innovative activities that will likely become high priorities of the international / broader collaboration, within the time period covered by the grant application.		The proposed activities of the Canadian collaboration align with the priorities of the international collaboration / broader community, and/or are innovative activities that will likely align with priorities of the international/broader collaboration, within the time period covered by the grant application.		The proposed activities of the Canadian collaboration align , but are of low priority to the international collaboration / broader community.		The proposed activities of the Canadian collaboration do not align with priorities of the international collaboration / broader community.	

The Discovery Grants Merit Indicators should be used in conjunction with the Peer Review Manual which outlines how reviewers arrive at a rating.

	EXCEPTIONAL	OUTSTANDING	VERY STRONG	STRONG	MODERATE	INSUFFICIENT
Training of HQP	Past training is at the highest level in terms of the research training environment provided and HQP contributions to research.	Past training is far superior to other applicants in terms of research training environment provided and HQP contributions to research.	Past training is superior to other applicants in terms of the research training environment provided and HQP contributions to research.	Past training compares favourably with other applicants in terms of the research training environment provided and HQP contributions to research.	Past training is modest relative to other applicants in terms of the research training environment provided and HQP contributions to research.	Past training is below an acceptable level in terms of the research training environment provided and HQP contributions to research.
	Most HQP move on to highly impactful positions that require skills gained through the training received.	Most HQP move on to impactful positions that require skills gained through the training received.	HQP generally move on to impactful positions that require skills gained through the training received.	HQP generally move on to positions that require skills gained through the training received.	Some HQP move on to positions that require skills gained through the training received.	HQP rarely move on to positions that require skills gained through the training received.
	Training philosophy and research training plans of the Canadian Collaboration are highly appropriate, clearly defined and expected to produce top quality results in terms of the overall approach and specific projects for HQP.	Training philosophy and research training plans of the Canadian Collaboration are highly appropriate, clearly defined and expected to produce high quality results in terms of the overall approach and specific projects for HQP.	Training philosophy and research training plans of the Canadian Collaboration are highly appropriate, clearly defined and expected to produce quality results in terms of the overall approach and specific projects for HQP.	Training philosophy and research training plans of the Canadian Collaboration are appropriate and clearly defined in terms of the overall approach and specific projects for HQP.	Training philosophy and research training plans of the Canadian Collaboration are partially appropriate and partially defined in terms of the overall approach and specific projects for HQP.	Training philosophy and research training plans of the Canadian Collaboration are not appropriate and not clearly defined in terms of the overall approach and specific projects for HQP.
	Past and potential challenges related to equity, diversity and inclusion specific to the Collaboration and field of research are clearly described . Moreover, specific actions to support the recruitment of a diverse group of HQP and an inclusive research training environment are clearly defined .	Past and potential challenges related to equity, diversity and inclusion specific to the Collaboration and field of research are clearly described . Moreover, specific actions to support the recruitment of a diverse group of HQP and an inclusive research training environment are clearly defined .	Past and potential challenges related to equity, diversity and inclusion specific to the Collaboration and field of research are described . Moreover, specific actions to support the recruitment of a diverse group of HQP and an inclusive research training environment are defined .	Past and potential challenges related to equity, diversity and inclusion specific to the Collaboration and/or field of research are described . Moreover, specific actions to support the recruitment of a diverse group of HQP and/or an inclusive research training environment are defined .	Past and potential challenges related to equity, diversity and inclusion specific to the Collaboration and/or field of research are partially described . Moreover, specific actions to support the recruitment of a diverse group of HQP and/or an inclusive research training environment are partially defined .	Past and potential challenges related to equity, diversity and inclusion specific to the Collaboration and/or field of research are inaccurate or not described . Moreover, specific actions to support the recruitment of a diverse group of HQP and/or an inclusive research training environment are not appropriate or not described .
Need for Funds	The application clearly demonstrates the timeliness of the proposal and the need and urgency for the requested support through Subatomic Physics Discovery Grant Program.	The application demonstrates the timeliness of the proposal and the need and urgency for the requested support through Subatomic Physics Discovery Grant Program.	The application demonstrates the need and urgency for the requested support through Subatomic Physics Discovery Grant Program.	The application demonstrates the need for the requested support through Subatomic Physics Discovery Grant Program.	The application demonstrates the need for the requested support through Subatomic Physics Discovery Grant Program.	The application does not provide convincing evidence that support from the Subatomic Physics Discovery Grant Program is essential to carry out the research proposed.
	The requested funds are appropriate for all of the proposed research activities which the reviewers consider feasible and extremely meritorious .	The requested funds are appropriate for most of the proposed research activities which the reviewers consider feasible and highly meritorious .	The requested funds are appropriate for many of the proposed research activities which the reviewers consider feasible and meritorious .	The requested funds are appropriate for some of the proposed research activities, which the reviewers consider feasible and meritorious .	The requested funds are appropriate for few of the proposed research activities, which the reviewers consider feasible and meritorious .	The requested funds are not well justified .
	The requested funds are very well justified , where many efficiencies were considered and embedded into the requested budget.	The requested funds are very well justified , where some efficiencies were considered and embedded into the requested budget.	The requested funds are well justified , where some efficiencies were considered and embedded into the requested budget.	The requested funds are partially justified .	The requested funds are partially justified ; however efficiencies were not sufficiently considered and embedded in to the requested budget.	The application does not clearly demonstrate how the research activities to be supported are distinct from those funded (or applied for) by other sources.
	The application clearly demonstrates how the research activities to be supported are distinct from those funded (or applied for) by other sources.					